

# Tracking large volcanic eruptions and their regional variability

José Luis Macías\*

Instituto de Geofísica, Unidad Michoacán, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Campus-Morelia, Morelia, 58090, Michoacán, México

In order to understand the hazards and risks posed by active volcanoes to modern societies, it is imperative to reconstruct their geologic, historic, and modern records. This information is crucial to decipher volcano behavior over time and the way volcanic unrest may occur. The analysis of all this volcanological data provides the basis to estimate recurrence periods of activity, develop computer models to simulate volcanic processes, and generate volcanic hazards maps. These maps are used by the authorities to mitigate the risk posed by volcanic activity.

As stated, it may seem like a straightforward procedure to unravel the behavior of volcanoes; however, our understanding is hindered by the variable preservation of volcanic deposits due to local conditions. Volcanoes are located in diverse tectonic environments, altitudes, and climates, and so are subjected to contrasting conditions for deposit preservation, soil formation, and reworking after deposition. Even at a specific geographic location and tectonic environment, a single long-lived volcano may have experienced periods of global cooling and/or warming. For example, most active volcanoes today were formed during the Pleistocene or late Pleistocene and thus have experienced periods of glaciation during which large amounts of melt water would have been available to facilitate remobilization of debris and reduce the availability of organic material. These conditions would negatively impact on the preservation of deposits and the amount of organic material for dating past eruptions.

For volcanoes located near the tropics, it could be difficult to track deposits of a single eruption from near-vent facies to distal facies due to dense vegetation, and because the proximal and distal deposits may not look the same. Distal facies could be deposited by vertical eruptive columns that dispersed fine ash over extended areas far away from the volcano. Nooren et al. (2017, p. 175 in this issue of *Geology*) report an exceptional example of this type of explosive eruption attributed to El Chichón Volcano, located in Chiapas in southern Mexico, that disrupted the Maya civilization during the 6<sup>th</sup> century (A.D. 540) and that had a global cooling effect on the planet. The authors reconstructed the stratigraphic record of this deposit by using proximal data (Espíndola et al., 2000), distal tephra deposits (Nooren et al., 2009, 2017), and the Holocene beach ridge plain along the Gulf of Mexico. These studies, and careful use of <sup>14</sup>C data, enabled them to propose a major explosive eruption of El Chichón with a prominent volcanic sulfur spike in bipolar ice core records dated at 540 CE. This eruption is important because it could have had a severe environmental impact on Maya societies, causing temporary cultural decline, site abandonment, and migrations. The eruption is one of at least 11 eruptions that occurred during the past 8000 years of activity of El Chichón volcano, including the famous A.D. 1982 event (Espíndola et al., 2000; Scolamacchia and Capra, 2015). These 11 eruptions represent large events that left a stratigraphic record around the volcano. Although several studies have contributed to refining the stratigraphy of El Chichón, it is quite plausible that parts of its volcanic record have been under-recorded, because they have not been yet discovered or because minor eruptions did not produce traceable deposits.

This lack of preservation is a common characteristic of active volcanoes; for instance, Popocatepetl in central Mexico has produced large

Plinian eruptions during the last 23 k.y. (Siebe et al., 1996; Panfil et al., 1999; Arana et al., 2010; Sosa-Ceballos et al., 2012) and has an important historic record (Martin del Pozzo et al., 2016). However, its ongoing eruption that started in December 1994 has emplaced and destroyed 38 lava domes inside the crater (Gómez-Vazquez et al., 2016) but has rarely emplaced pyroclastic deposits outside the crater (Siebe and Macías, 2006). So far, this behavior is related to magma availability at depth and therefore small volumes have been erupted.

Thus, volcanic records are always incomplete. In order to understand the impact of such a lack of information for volcanoes worldwide, Sheldrake and Caricchi (2017, p. 111 in this issue of *Geology*) used the LaMEVE database of Crosweller et al. (2012), which provides a record of large-magnitude explosive eruptions throughout the Quaternary, to estimate the recurrence rate of large-magnitude eruptions ( $M \geq 4$ ). In their study, they describe biases in the volcanic records that impact their data completeness and their analysis. To overcome such biases, they used a hierarchical Bayesian method to characterize the common frequency-magnitude ( $f$ - $M$ ) behavior for different groups of volcanoes. In their approach, the proportion of eruptions of different magnitude is quantified considering groups of volcanoes associated by a common behavior (e.g., arc volcanoes). To account for systematic under-recording (deposits not studied or preserved in nature) in the volcanic record, they calculate global recurrence rates for large-magnitude eruptions during the Holocene. The Bayesian statistics is important to understand the dynamic analysis of a sequence of data to obtain the posterior distribution of model parameters.

## VOLCANIC RECORDS: THE CASE OF DEPOSIT PRESERVATION AT EL CHICHÓN

El Chichón volcano belongs to a volcanic complex formed by craters and peripheral domes. Its magmatism began at ca. 370 ka with the emission of lava domes forming a large andesitic dome complex between 209 and 276 ka (Layer et al., 2009). This complex was destroyed by an eruption of unknown age that left a 1.5-km-wide Somma-type crater. The activity continued with the extrusion of peripheral domes: a southwest dome at 217 ka, Cambac at 168–187 ka, Capulín at 152 ka, and a northwest dome at 80–97 ka. Some deposits emplaced by pyroclastic density currents associated with these domes have been dated between 48 and 102 ka. These dates were obtained with the <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar method. Younger deposits correspond to Holocene explosive eruptions that occurred at an inner crater excavated within the older Somma crater (e.g., the crater formed during the A.D. 1982 eruption). As summarized, the volcanic record of El Chichón is still incomplete. The Holocene stratigraphy is based on mapping, correlation of deposits, and <sup>14</sup>C dating of organic material (Tilling et al., 1984; Espíndola et al., 2000; Macías et al., 2003). However, late Pleistocene stratigraphy is based on <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar geochronology of whole rocks (Layer et al., 2009; Macías et al., 2010), which has some problems due to excess argon (Layer et al., 2009) and subsequent alteration. Recently, Pack et al. (2016) used <sup>230</sup>Th/<sup>238</sup>U disequilibrium (U-Th), and U-Pb geochronology in zircons crystals that aided in the understanding of both late Pleistocene and Holocene chronology of events of the volcano.

\*E-mail: macias@geofisica.unam.mx

Since the 1982 catastrophic eruption of El Chichón volcano, the slopes have been revegetated, making it quite difficult to recognize the deposits of this event. The volcano is located in a region of abundant vegetation and water that favors the rapid growth of vegetation and development of soils. Thirty-five years after the 1982 eruption of El Chichón, a thin soil began to form on top of the deposits around the volcano, and now it has become a significant unit masking information about the eruption. Evidence of past eruption-soil formation is common at El Chichón, attesting to repose periods in the late Holocene activity of the volcano (Solleiro et al., 2007) and human occupation during the past 2400 years (Espíndola et al., 2000). Distal preservation of tephra is mostly eroded, and is only preserved in selected environments. In this sense, the careful analyses by Nooren et al. (2017) of distal tephra-fall deposited ~140 m northeast of the volcano depicts these problems, but also shows how a deposit from an explosive eruption can be traced back to the volcanic source. The authors used new accelerator mass spectrometry <sup>14</sup>C analysis of organic material of the distal tephra-fall deposits in the Usumacinta-Grijalva delta, and the magnetite enrichment in deposits of the beach ridge to further refine the age of the eruption. These results allowed them to match the El Chichón proximal and distal tephra to the 540 CE sulfur spike in the bipolar ice-core data (Zielinski et al., 1997).

## SUMMARY

Volcanological studies are based on field reconnaissance of erupted products aided by modern techniques and methods such as Geographic Information System, satellite, and drone-based imagery; geochemistry; petrology; and geochronology. A synthesis of this information provides a faster and more precise means to understand the past records of active volcanoes on a global scale. An increased number of geochronological and other isotopic methods are available for refining near-vent volcanic stratigraphy and distal subaerial or subaquatic tephrochronology. This information, combined with microanalytical techniques used in juvenile material (e.g., EPMA or SIMS in glass shards) of volcanic deposits, becomes a powerful instrument to correlate single explosive eruptions that had far-reaching effects, as Nooren et al. (2017) show. Global events such as glaciations, droughts, meteoric impacts, or extreme weather conditions drastically reduced the probability of preserving the complete eruptive record of volcanoes around the world. The record is inevitably incomplete in different parts of the stratigraphic column, in regions around the volcano, or in proximal versus distal areas from the vent. However, by improving the stratigraphic volcanic record of volcanoes across different tectonic and depositional environments, we can construct a more robust worldwide data set and provide the basis to statistically analyze regional and global volcanic hazards using approaches such as that presented by Sheldrake and Caricchi (2017).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been supported by Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT 522 “Problemas Nacionales”), and Centro Mexicano de Innovación en Energía Geotérmica (CeMIE Geo Project P15).

## REFERENCES CITED

Arana, L., Siebe, C., and Macías, J.L., 2010, Dynamics of the ca. 4965 yr <sup>14</sup>C BP “Ochre Pumice” Plinian eruption of Popocatepetl volcano, México: *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, v. 192, p. 212–231, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2010.02.022.

Crowther, H.S., et al., 2012, Global database on large magnitude explosive volcanic eruptions (LaMEVE): *Journal of Applied Volcanology*, v. 1, p. 4–13, doi:10.1186/2191-5040-1-4.

Espíndola, J.M., Macías, J.L., Tilling, R.I., and Sheridan, M.F., 2000, Volcanic history of El Chichón Volcano (Chiapas, Mexico) during the Holocene, and its impact on human activity: *Bulletin of Volcanology*, v. 62, p. 90–104, doi:10.1007/s004459900064.

Gómez-Vázquez, A., De la Cruz-Reyna, S., and Mendoza-Rosas, A.T., 2016, The ongoing dome emplacement and destruction cyclic process at Popocatepetl volcano, Central Mexico: *Bulletin of Volcanology*, v. 78, p. 58, doi:10.1007/s00445-016-1054-z.

Layer, P.W., García-Palomo, A., Jones, D., Macías, J.L., Arce, J.L., and Mora, J.C., 2009, El Chichón Volcanic Complex, Chiapas, Mexico: Stages of evolution based on field mapping and <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar geochronology: *Geofísica Internacional*, v. 48, p. 33–54.

Macías, J.L., Arce, J.L., Mora, J.C., Espíndola, J.M., and Saucedo, R., 2003, A 550-year old Plinian eruption at El Chichón Volcano, Chiapas, Mexico: Explosive volcanism linked to reheating of the magma reservoir: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 108, p. 2569, doi:10.1029/2003JB002551.

Macías, J.L., Arce, J.L., Garduño-Monroy, V.H., Rouwet, D., Taran, Y., Layer, P.W., Jiménez, A., and Álvarez, R., 2010, Estudio de prospección geotérmica para evaluar el potencial del volcán Chichón, Chiapas: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México-Comisión Federal de Electricidad, Report No. 9400047770.

Martin-Del Pozzo, A.L., Rodríguez, A., and Portocarrero, J., 2016, Reconstructing 800 years of historical eruptive activity at Popocatepetl Volcano, Mexico: *Bulletin of Volcanology*, v. 78, p. 1–13, doi:10.1007/s00445-016-1010-y.

Nooren, C.A.M., Hoek, W.Z., Tebbens, L.A., and Martin Del Pozzo, A.L., 2009, Tephrochronological evidence for the late Holocene eruption history of El Chichón Volcano, Mexico: *Geofísica Internacional*, v. 48, p. 97–112.

Nooren, C.A.M., et al., 2017, Explosive eruption of El Chichón volcano (Mexico) disrupted 6<sup>th</sup> century Maya civilization and contributed to global cooling: *Geology*, v. 45, p. 175–178, doi:10.1130/G38739.1

Pack, B., Schmitt, A.K., Roberge, J., Tenorio, F.G., and Damiata, B.N., 2016, Zircon xenocryst resorption and magmatic regrowth at El Chichón Volcano, Chiapas, Mexico: *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, v. 311, p. 170–182, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2016.01.011.

Panfil, M.S., Gardner, T.W., and Hirth, K.G., 1999, Late Holocene stratigraphy of the Tetimpa archaeological sites, northeast flank of Popocatepetl volcano, central México: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 111, p. 204–218, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1999)111<0204:LHSOTT>2.3.CO;2.

Scolamacchia, T., and Capra, L., 2015, El Chichón Volcano: Eruptive History, in Scolamacchia, T., and Macías, J.L., eds., *Active Volcanoes of Chiapas (Mexico): El Chichón and Tacaná*: Berlin, Springer, p. 45–76.

Sheldrake, T., and Caricchi, L., 2017, Regional variability in the frequency and magnitude of large explosive volcanic eruptions: *Geology*, v. 45, p. 111–114, doi:10.1130/G38372.1.

Siebe, C., and Macías, J.L., 2006, Volcanic hazards in the Mexico City metropolitan area from eruptions at Popocatepetl, Nevado de Toluca, and Jocotitlán stratovolcanoes and monogenetic scoria cones in the Sierra Chichinautzin Volcanic Field, in Siebe, C., et al., eds., *Neogene-Quaternary Continental Margin Volcanism: A Perspective from México*: Geological Society of America Special Paper 402, p. 253–329, doi:10.1130/2004.VHITMC.SP402.

Siebe, C., Abrams, M., Macías, J.L., and Obenholzer, J., 1996, Repeated volcanic disasters in Prehispanic time at Popocatepetl, central Mexico: Past key to the future?: *Geology*, v. 24, p. 399–402, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1996)024<0399:RVDIPT>2.3.CO;2.

Solleiro, E., Sedov, S., Macías, J.L., and Pi, T., 2007, Late Holocene paleopedological records contained in tephra from El Chichón volcano, Chiapas, Mexico: *Catena*, v. 71, p. 444–455, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2007.03.012.

Sosa-Ceballos, G., Gardner, J.E., Siebe, C., and Macías, J.L., 2012, A caldera-forming eruption ~14100 <sup>14</sup>C yr BP at Popocatepetl volcano, México: Insights from eruption dynamics and magma mixing: *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, v. 213–214, p. 27–40, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2011.11.001.

Tilling, R.I., Rubin, M., Sigurdsson, H., Carey, S., Duffield, W.A., and Rose, W.I., 1984, Holocene eruptive activity of El Chichón Volcano, Chiapas, Mexico: *Science*, v. 224, p. 747–749, doi:10.1126/science.224.4650.747.

Zielinski, G.A., Dibb, J.E., Yang, Q., Mayewski, P.A., Whitlow, S., Twickler, M.S., and Germani, M.S., 1997, Assessment of the record of the 1982 El Chichón eruption as preserved in Greenland snow: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 102, p. 30,031–30,045, doi:10.1029/97JD01574.

Printed in USA